


[Back to online version](#)
**Guardian**Unlimited: Print version

## EU to reconsider biofuels targets

**Jessica Aldred and agencies**

guardian.co.uk, Monday January 14 2008

**More on...**
**Environment**

Biofuels

Forests

Climate change

**News**
**Politics**

Green politics



**Oilseed rape, an energy crop grown in the United Kingdom to produce biodiesel. Photograph: David Levene**

The EU is to re-examine its policy on biofuels after admitting that the environmental and social impact of producing the crops may be greater than originally thought, it emerged today.

The European commission's environment minister, Stavros Dimas, today admitted that the EU did not foresee the problems that would be raised by its policy of getting 10% of Europe's road fuels from plants by 2020.

He said the environmental impact and the effect on poor communities of boosting biofuel production would be greater than Brussels had originally thought.

The acknowledgement, in an interview for the BBC, follows a report published in the journal *Science* last week which warned that biofuels made from corn, sugar cane and soy could have a greater environmental impact than burning fossil fuels.

The research, from the Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute in Panama, found that although the fuels themselves emitted fewer greenhouse gases, they all had higher costs in terms of biodiversity loss and destruction of farmland.

"Regardless of how effective sugar cane is for producing ethanol, its benefits quickly diminish if carbon-rich tropical forests are being razed to make the sugar cane fields, thereby causing vast greenhouse-gas emission increases," the report's authors, Jörn Scharlemann and William Laurance, wrote.

of getting 10% of petrol and diesel needs from renewable sources by 2020 was less effective in curbing carbon emissions than a programme of restoring forests and protection plant habitats.

Dimas said today that there had been "a lot of enthusiasm" for the biofuels option a year and half ago as a means of meeting overall targets in cutting emissions from vehicles.

That enthusiasm had "gone down" because of revelations that the environmental and social problems were greater than thought.

"We have seen that the environmental problems caused by biofuels and also the social problems are bigger than we thought they were," he told the BBC.

Dimas said the commission would now have to "move carefully" on the issue of biofuels, adding: "We have to have criteria for sustainability, including social and environmental issues, because there are some benefits from biofuels."

One of the criteria in pushing biofuels was that the policy had to be "sustainable" - meaning that harnessing biofuels should not be mean clearing existing forest land.

If the necessary sustainability could not be achieved, said Dimas, the EU targets would not be met.

The earlier study, published in Science last August, warned that the European biofuels policy was a "mistake".

It compared the relative environmental benefits of growing crops on arable land to produce biofuels, or replanting the same land with trees, and found that the quantity of CO<sub>2</sub> absorbed by forests over 30 years would be "considerably greater" than the emissions avoided by using biofuels.

The extent of the benefits of biofuels will be assessed in a review being published today by the Royal Society. The report is expected to urge EU governments to ensure that they only endorse a biofuels policy which can be proven to cut carbon emissions.

[License/buy our content](#) | [Privacy policy](#) | [Terms & conditions](#) | [Advertising guide](#) | [Accessibility](#) | [A-Z index](#) | [About guardian.co.uk](#) | [Join our dating site today](#)

guardian.co.uk © Guardian News and Media Limited 2008